## Case 5:05-mj-70375-MRGD Document 5 Filed 11/22/05 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Rullin Rimentel-Ranir Tegendant.	ORDER OF DETERMION PENDING IRIAL
Kulun liminter - Kunur Brendant.	12(0 - Jetertier Leaving west 111 - 1/2 - 200
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31-	42(1), a detention nearing was held on 11/32, 2000
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney L. Vin	. The United States was represented by
Assistant U.S. Attorney Sknight.	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described	
convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)	while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed	since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
imprisonment, whichever is later.	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	ion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
safety of any other person and the community.	
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the indictment)	) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
defendant has committed an offense	,
	nt of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm of	during the commission of a felony.
	ion or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the com	and the same of th
/x/ No presumption applies.	nmunity. NOV 2 2 2005
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	AIGHARD W.
/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evider	nce to rebut the applicable presumption stand he therefore
will be ordered detained.	SAN JOSE CALIFORN
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to re	ebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
·	•
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPI	PLICABLE)
/X/ The United States has proved to a preponderance of	the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
vill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as require	d, AND/OR
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincin	ng evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
vill reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the com	munity.
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF RE	ASONS FOR DETENTION
/X/ The Court has taken into account the factors set out i	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
t hearing and finds as follows: The definations is	Thorold with a violation of 8450
8/326 Newtry also desortations. 1	He is not documented. He has Thele
Truis leting unwelting to samual a	buse and druck. He has mendencome
must tun to hatter setty their be	erdory driving offinals and disturbance
the sense. He has underseal arabates	in and smale. He is still lunewille
revision a state sintense.	
www.c.	
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived	written findings
ART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney C	eneral or his designated representative for confinement in
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney G	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney G ctions facility separate to the extent practicable from persons aw al. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for	vaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pend

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated:1/22/05
AUSA \_\_\_ATTY \_\_\_\_\_, PTS\_

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge